

RA-Cert Division Headquarters 65 Millet St. Suite 201 Richmond, VT 05477 USA Tel: 802-434-5491 Fax: 802-434-3116

www.rainforest-alliance.org

Audit Managed by: Canada Regional Office P.O. Box 1771 Chelsea, QC, Canada J9B 1T9 Tel: 613-422-5291 Fax: 866-438-1971

Contact person: David Brunelle Email: dbrunelle@ra.org



The mark of responsible forestry

Rainforest Alliance is an FSC® accredited certifier



Forest Management 2017 Annual audit Report for:

Ecotrust Canada In Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Report Finalized: February 6th, 2018
Audit Dates: November 7-9, 2017

Audit Team: David Brunelle Yves Bouthillier

Certificate code: RA-FM/COC-001758
Certificate issued: December 31, 2015
Certificate expiration: December 30, 2020

Organization Contact: Satnam Manhas Address: Suite 90

425 Carrall Street

Vancouver.

British Columbia V6B 6E3

FM-06 - 01 March 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			2
1.	INT	RODUCTION	3
2.	AUE	DIT FINDINGS AND RESULTS	3
	2.1. 2.2.	AUDIT CONCLUSIONCHANGES IN FMES' FOREST MANAGEMENT AND ASSOCIATED EFFECTS ON CONFORMANCE TO	3
	STANDA	ARD REQUIREMENTS:	
	2.3	EXCISION OF AREAS FROM THE SCOPE OF CERTIFICATE	
	2.4.	STAKEHOLDER ISSUES (COMPLAINTS/DISPUTES RAISED BY STAKEHOLDERS TO FME OR RAINFOREST	
	2.5.	CE SINCE PREVIOUS EVALUATION):	
	2.6.	NEW NONCONFORMITY REPORTS ISSUED AS A RESULT OF THIS AUDIT	
	2.7.	AUDIT OBSERVATIONS	
	2.8.	Notes	11
	2.9.	NEW NOTES AS A RESULT OF THIS AUDIT:	12
3.		DIT PROCESS	
	3.1.	AUDITORS AND QUALIFICATIONS:	
	3.2.	AUDIT SCHEDULE	
	3.3. 3.4.	STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS	
	3.5.	CHANGES TO CERTIFICATION STANDARDS	
	3.6.	REVIEW OF FME DOCUMENTATION AND REQUIRED RECORDS	
Α	PPEND	IX I: FSC Annual Audit Reporting Form:	17
Α	PPEND	IX II: List of visited sites (confidential)	19
A	PPEND	IX III: List of stakeholders consulted (confidential)	.20
Α	PPEND	IX IV: Forest management standard conformance (confidential)	24
Α	PPEND	IX V: Chain-of-Custody Conformance (confidential)	.42
A	PPEND	IX VI: Rainforest Alliance Database Update Form	.47
Α	PPEND	IX VII: Group management conformance checklist FSC-STD-30-005 v1-0 (confidential)	.48
Α	PPEND	IX VII-a: Certified Group Member/FMU List	55

Standard Conversions

1 mbf = 5.1 m^3

 $1 \text{ cord} = 2.55 \text{ m}^3$

1 gallon (US) = 3.78541 liters

1 inch = 2.54 cm

1 foot = 0.3048 m

1 yard = 0.9144 m

1 mile = 1.60934 km

1 acre = 0.404687 hectares

1 pound = 0.4536 kg

1 US ton = 907.185 kg

1 UK ton = 1016.047 kg

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to document annual audit conformance of Ecotrust Canada, hereafter referred to as Forest Management Enterprise (FME). The report presents the findings of Rainforest Alliance auditors who have evaluated company systems and performance against the Forest Stewardship Council™ (FSC®) forest management standards and policies. Section 2 of this report provides the audit conclusions and any necessary follow-up actions by the company through nonconformity reports.

The Rainforest Alliance founded its previous SmartWood program in 1989 to certify responsible forestry practices and has grown to provide a variety of auditing services. Rainforest Alliance certification and auditing services are managed and implemented within its RA-Cert Division. All related personnel responsible for audit design, evaluation, and certification/verification/validation decisions are under the purview of the RA-Cert Division, hereafter referred to as Rainforest Alliance or RA.

This report includes information which will become public information. Sections 1-3 and Appendix I will be posted on the FSC website according to FSC requirements. All other appendices will remain confidential. A copy of the public summary of this report can be obtained on the FSC website at http://info.fsc.org/.

Dispute resolution: If Rainforest Alliance clients encounter organizations or individuals having concerns or comments about Rainforest Alliance and our services, these parties are strongly encouraged to contact Rainforest Alliance regional or Headquarters offices directly (see contact information on report cover). Formal complaints or concerns should be sent in writing.

2. AUDIT FINDINGS AND RESULTS

2.1. Audit conclusion

Based on Company's conformance with FSC and Rainforest Alliance requirements, the audit team makes the following recommendation:				
\boxtimes	Certification requirements met, certificate maintenance recommended Upon acceptance of NCR(s) issued below			
	Certificatio	ertification requirements <u>not met</u> :		
Additional comments:				
Issues identified as controversial or hard to evaluate.				

2.2. Changes in FMEs' forest management and associated effects on conformance to standard requirements:

Since last audit, changes in Ecotrust Canada's membership occurred: Burns Lake Community Forest (BLCF) of 92,062.50 ha joined the group certificate and 4 FMUs of less than 1,000 ha left the group. Consequently, the group certificate is now comprised of one Community Forest and 4 FMUs of less than 1,000 ha for a total certified area of 93,972.70 ha. No changes in the organization's structure or procedures.

2.3 Excision of areas from the scope of certificate

Not applicable. Check this box if the FME has not excised areas from the FMU(s) included in the certificate scope as defined by FSC-POL-20-003. *(delete the rows below if not applicable)*

2.4. Stakeholder issues (complaints/disputes raised by stakeholders to FME or Rainforest Alliance since previous evaluation):

FSC Principle	Stakeholder comment	Rainforest Alliance response
P1: FSC Commitment and Legal Compliance	No comments received.	No response needed.
P2: Tenure & Use Rights & Responsibilities	No comments received.	No response needed.
P3: Indigenous Peoples' Rights	Rights holders had only good comments concerning the Organization's collaborative attitude towards forest management practices.	No response needed.
P4: Community Relations & Workers' Rights	No comments received.	No response needed.
P5: Benefits from the Forest	No comments received.	No response needed.
P6: Environmental Impact	One stakeholder commented on past forest management practices on a forest that is no longer part of the group.	The comment did not concern the actual geographic scope of the group certificate. No answer needed.
P7: Management Plan	No comments received.	No response needed.
P8: Monitoring & Assessment	No comments received.	No response needed.
P9: Maintenance of High Conservation Value Forest	No comments received.	No response needed.
P10: Plantations	No comments received.	No response needed.

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 4 of 55

2.5. Conformance with applicable nonconformity reports

The section below describes the activities of the certificate holder to address each applicable non-conformity report (NCR) issued during previous evaluations. For each NCR a finding is presented along with a description of its current status using the following categories. Failure to meet NCRs will result in nonconformances being upgraded from minor to major status with conformance required within 3 months with risk of suspension or termination of the Rainforest Alliance certificate if Major NCRs are not met. The following classification is used to indicate the status of the NCR:

Status Categories	Explanation
Closed	Operation has successfully met the NCR.
Open	Operation has either not met or has partially met the NCR.

☐ Check if N/A (there are no open NCRs to review)

2.6. New nonconformity reports issued as a result of this audit

NCR#:	6.1.3/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 6.1.3		
Description of No	nconforman	ce and Related Evidence:		
		at the block level, but watershing process regarding hydrolog		
Corrective Action Request:		Organization shall implement conformance with the required Note: Effective corrective action occurrence described in evidential eliminate and prevent recurrence.	ement(s) referenc tions focus on add lence above, as v	ed above. dressing the specific vell as the root cause to
Timeline for Conf	Timeline for Conformance: 12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)		e (XX/XX/XXXX)	
NCR Evaluation 1	Гуре	On-site Desk Review		
Evidence Provided by Organization:		PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:		PENDING		
NCR Status:		OPEN		
Comments (option	al):			

NCR#:	6.2.4/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Re Columbia - Main Standards (20	•	ndards for British
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 6.2.4		

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 5 of 55

Description of Nonconforman	ce and Related Evidence:		
Forest workers interviewed had a handbook that listed a summary of species required by indicator 6.2.1, but they had not been trained per the 6.2.4 requirements for dealing with unexpected encounters of these species and what procedure to implement thereafter.			
Corrective Action Request: Organization shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the nonconformance.			
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)		
NCR Evaluation Type On-site Desk Review			
Evidence Provided by Organization:	PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	Findings for Evaluation of PENDING		
NCR Status:	OPEN		
Comments (optional):			

NCR#:	6.4.4/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X	
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)			
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 6.4.4			
Description of No	nconforman	ce and Related Evidence:			
mimic stand-replace 1.2 times the estimates	The certificate holder has not demonstrated how management treatments in dynamic reserves intend to mimic stand-replacing natural disturbances by (a) employing on a frequency (i.e. rotation age) that is at least 1.2 times the estimated average return interval for those disturbance (b) and include stand level retention significantly above the estimated average natural retention levels for those disturbances.				
Corrective Action Request:		Organization shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the nonconformance.			
Timeline for Conformance:		12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)			
NCR Evaluation T	уре	On-site Desk Review			
Evidence Provided by Organization:		PENDING			
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:		PENDING			
NCR Status:		OPEN			
Comments (option	al):				

NCR#:	6.5.8/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Re Columbia - Main Standards (20	•	ndards for British
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 6.5.8		

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 6 of 55

Description of Nonconformance and Related Evidence:				
<u> </u>	The manager has not calculated and demonstrated that increases in peak flow maintain weighted equivalent clearcut area (ECA) to less than 25% within watersheds.			
Corrective Action Request: Organization shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the nonconformance.				
Timeline for Conformance: 12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)				
NCR Evaluation Type	On-site Desk Review			
Evidence Provided by Organization:	PENDING			
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:				
NCR Status:	OPEN			
Comments (optional):				

NCR#:	6.7.1/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 6.7.1		
Description of No	nconforman	ce and Related Evidence:		
During field visits, the audit team interviewed on one site two forest workers and visited two machines. The were no spill kits on site, one of the fire extinguishers had not been inspected since 2012, forest workers lacked Environmental Management System training and the fuel tanks' nozzles in the back of the pickup trucks were not secured or contained in a way to prevent an unexpected failure of the nozzle.				ce 2012, forest workers the back of the pickup
Corrective Action Request:		Organization shall implement conformance with the requirer Note: Effective corrective action occurrence described in evidential eliminate and prevent recurre	ment(s) referenced ons focus on addre ence above, as wel	l above. essing the specific I as the root cause to
Timeline for Conf	ormance:	12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)		
NCR Evaluation T	уре	On-site Desk Review		
Evidence Provided Organization:	l by	PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:		PENDING		
NCR Status:		OPEN		
Comments (optional):				

NCR#:	8.5.1/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X	
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Re- Columbia - Main Standards (20		Standards for British	
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 8.5.1			
Description of Nonconformance and Related Evidence:					

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 7 of 55

The summary of the results of monitoring was made available to interested parties. However, it did not include all the information required in 8.2.	
Corrective Action Request:	Organization shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the nonconformance.
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)
NCR Evaluation Type	On-site Desk Review
Evidence Provided by Organization:	PENDING
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING
NCR Status:	OPEN
Comments (optional):	

NCR#:	9.1.2/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 9.1.2		
Description of No	nconforman	ce and Related Evidence:		
The HCVF assessment has not been reviewed by an independent, third-party input from and review by qualified specialists.			m and review by	
Corrective Action Request:		Organization shall implement or conformance with the requirement Note: Effective corrective action occurrence described in eviden eliminate and prevent recurrence	ent(s) referenced abov ns focus on addressing ce above, as well as th	re. I the specific ne root cause to
Timeline for Conformance:		12 months following the report	finalization date (XX/X)	X/XXXX)
NCR Evaluation 7	Гуре	On-site Desk Review		
Evidence Provided Organization:	l by	PENDING		
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:		PENDING		
NCR Status:		OPEN		
Comments (option	al):			

NCR#:	9.1.4/17	NC Classification:	Major	Minor X
Standard & Requirement:		Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
Report Section:		Appendix II, Indicator 9.1.4		
Description of Nonconformance and Related Evidence:				

The HOVE and a state of the late of the la

The HCVF assessment does not include (b) a risk assessment methodology appropriate to the conservation attribute to be maintained or restored, (c) the monitoring program was not completed for the audit and

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 8 of 55

consequently, (d) an adaptive management strategy appropriate to the conservation attribute and its level of sensitivity was not developed in consideration of the monitoring program.		
Note: HCVs identified in the HCVF assessment have a management strategy, which may depend on regulations or guidance by the province or measures defined by the certificate holder itself. But the certificate holder was still working on defining the overall structure (i.e. roles, measures, frequency) of its monitoring program for each HCV.		
Corrective Action Request:	Organization shall implement corrective actions to demonstrate conformance with the requirement(s) referenced above. Note: Effective corrective actions focus on addressing the specific occurrence described in evidence above, as well as the root cause to eliminate and prevent recurrence of the nonconformance.	
Timeline for Conformance:	12 months following the report finalization date (XX/XX/XXXX)	
NCR Evaluation Type	On-site Desk Review	
Evidence Provided by Organization:	PENDING	
Findings for Evaluation of Evidence:	PENDING	
NCR Status:	OPEN	
Comments (ontional):		

2.7. Audit observations

Observations can be raised when issues or the early stages of a problem are identified which does not of itself constitute a nonconformance, but which the auditor considers may lead to a future nonconformance if not addressed by the client. An observation may be a warning signal on a particular issue that, if not addressed, could turn into a NCR in the future (or a pre-condition or condition during a 5 year re-assessment).

OBS 3.1.4/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 3.1.4, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)
For BLCF:	
The Organization was able to demonstrate that it had free and informed consent of the key First communities interested in the FMU and looked to engage with all relevant First Nations. Howeve evidence was mostly based on interviews and some documentation. For SLIMF group members: There is demonstration of lack of interest from the relevant First Nations on the members' FMUs is based on old communications.	
	rtificate holder should ensure that it continued to engage and keeps up to date edemonstrating it has obtained free and informed consent from the First Nations.

OBS 4.1.3/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 4.1.3, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The Organization was able to demonstrate that the contractors are briefed on the best management practices that the standard requires for their roles in forest management. However, the evidence of adherence of contractors to the FSC requirements was mostly based on interviews.

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 9 of 55

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that it has documented evidence that it "requires contractor to adhere to portions of the FSC-BC Regional Standards relevant to their activities on the management unit" to more easily demonstrate meeting this requirement.

OBS 4.4.1/17 Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 4.4.1, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)

The Organization has developed and implemented a comprehensive plan for ongoing public participation that accommodates the needs and preferences of directly affected persons in relation to the process.

However, the stakeholders list did not have all the information needed for the audit team to contact the whole spectrum of type of stakeholders.

Observation: The certificate holder should make sure its stakeholders list covers the whole spectrum of stakeholders.

OBS 6.3.9/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.3.9, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The standard requires for retention of dominant and co-dominant green trees and snags specific targets per Natural Disturance Type (NDT) and Biogeoclimatic Ecological Classification (BEC). One of the targets included in the current BLCF Forest Stewardship Plan (for zone Engelmann Spruce Sub-alpine Fir (ESSF)) is below the 15% required by the FSC Standard.

That said, no recent harvesting has been done in this zone nor is included within the next 5-10-year operational plan. The certificate holder's current management activities meet the standard's requirements for retention, but an observation is issued to ensure targets are adjusted prior to implementing management activities in zone ESSF.

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that its retention targets and results for dominant and co-dominant green trees and snags for both BEC zones meet the requirements of the indicator.

OBS 6.4.1/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.4.1, Forest Stewardship
	Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The ESSFmc BEC zone is currently under-protected per the analysis completed in the HCVF report. The manager is currently working with the British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resources Operations and Rural Development (MFLNRORD) to have this BEC zone protected to required level under Table P6-1. Since there are no plans to harvest in this area in the upcoming five years. As such, only an observation is issued.

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that the gap identified in the BEC ESSFmc zone is formally protected to guarantee a 24% level of protection within the management unit and meet the requirements of indicator 6.4.3.

OBS 6.5.1/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.5.1, Forest Stewardship
	Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The ESSFmc BEC zone is currently under-protected per the analysis completed in the HCVF report. Since there are no plans to harvest in this area in the upcoming five years, the manager is currently working with the British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resources Operations and Rural Development (MFLNRORD) to have this BEC zone protected to required level under Table P6-1.

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 10 of 55

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that the gap identified in the BEC ESSFmc zone is protected to ensure a 24% level of protection within the management unit and meets the requirements of indicator 6.4.3.

OBS 6.10.2/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.10.2, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The only case of actual conversion on the BLCF is for recreational use, i.e. mountain bike trails. Right now, this converted area does not exceed 5% of the timber harvesting land base of the management unit. Since the expansion of the trail network and campground has not started, this observation is issued.

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that prior to the expansion of the trail network and campground, benefits and impacts are evaluated and reported by qualified specialists per the requirements of indicator 6.10.2

OBS 7.1.1/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 7.1.1, Forest Stewardship
	Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The Organization has all the documentation required in a management plan.

However, the documentation required by the standard to be included in the management plan was provided in many different documents. The standard mentions the management plan has one document to be accessible publicly.

Observation: The certificate holder should ensure that the documentation provided to comply with principle 7 is included in a smaller number of documents to ensure a more efficient audit process and more comprehensive public consultation.

OBS 9.4.2/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 9.4.2, Forest Stewardship
	Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The HCVF monitoring was under completion during the audit. See NCR 9.1.4/17.

Observation: The manager should ensure that its monitoring program is capable of alerting the manager to changes in the status of an HCVF or conservation attribute and if they are effective.

OBS 9.4.3/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 9.4.3, Forest Stewardship
	Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards
	(2005)

The HCVF monitoring was under completion during the audit. See NCR 9.1.4/17.

Observation: The monitoring program results should allow manager to identify if there are increasing risks to HCV attributes to allow adaptation of management strategies.

2.8. Notes

NOTE 03/15	Reference Standard & Requirement: FSC Regional Certification Standard for		
	British Columbia for Small Operators (2005), Indicator 6.2.3.		

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 11 of 55

Frogs and Marbled Mur	and Shawnigan Lake School, new federal Recovery Strategies for Red Legged relet have been developed but have not yet been implemented by the		
	ecovery Strategies have not been assessed in relation to the two relevant Group		
Members.			
	should verify that the federal Recovery Strategies for Red Legged Frogs and implemented, have been assessed in relation to the two relevant Group		
Closed	Followed-up but still open Not followed-up this year		
2016 Audit Team Res	ponse: The federal recovery strategies were not implemented at the time of the		
2017 Audit Team Res	ponse: At the time of the audit, there had been no harvesting on Elkington and		
Shawinigan Lake School	ol Forests since last audit and no harvesting was being planned for the upcoming		
months. The Forest Ma	inagement Plans and the procedures used by the group manager and members		
	d procedures to ensure plans and procedures for Species at Risk (SAR) are		
	e remains open so that the next audit team verifies that if operations occurred on		
these forests and meas	ures included in these plans were implemented if required.		
	result of this audit:		
NOTE 6.1.4/17	Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.1.4, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
All streams are defaulte			
bearing status if confirmed during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for			
	d to fish-bearing streams (S4) and their status is only changed to non-fish- ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for		
bearing status if confirm	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018.		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Followed-up but still open Not followed-up this year		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Pollowed-up but still open Not followed-up this year		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Pollowed-up but still open Not followed-up this year		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed 2018 Audit Team Resp	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Followed-up but still open Not followed-up this year ponse:		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed 2018 Audit Team Responses NOTE 6.3.12/17	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes.		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed 2018 Audit Team Resp. NOTE 6.3.12/17 During the audit, the management of the status of the	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Followed-up but still open Not followed-up this year conse: Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.3.12, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)		
bearing status if confirm stream classification on NOTE: Future auditors being used in the forest Closed 2018 Audit Team Resp. NOTE 6.3.12/17 During the audit, the management of the status of the	ned during field inventories. A stream assessment project has been approved for the BLCF forest and completion of the project is expected for Summer 2018. should verify that the stream assessment project has been completed and is management planning and operational processes. Followed-up but still open Not followed-up this year conse: Reference Standard & Requirement: Indicator 6.3.12, Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005) anager was in the process of applying for a grant for an access management		

☐ Not followed-up this year

3. AUDIT PROCESS

2018 Audit Team Response:

☐ Closed

3.1. Auditors and qualifications:

used in the forest management planning and operational processes.

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 12 of 55

☐ Followed-up but still open

Auditor Name	David Brunelle Ing.f, R.P.F.	Auditor role	Team leader, in charge of forestry, socio-economic and First Nations aspects
Qualifications:	Forest engineer cumulating 10 years of experience in integrated resource management, forest management and in forest and environmental certification. David was first in charge of a forest management project for a consulting firm specialized in technical work. He then worked for a logging company for 3 years as Forestry Department Coordinator. In this position, he was involved in all tasks related to forest management and forestry operations' support. As the head of the integrated resource management projects for the Société des Établissements de Plein Air du Québec, he worked in harmonizing the uses in Quebec's wildlife reserves for 3 years. During his short stint at the Ministère des Ressources Naturelles du Québec in 2012, he participated in the implementation of forestry and environmental certifications at the provincial level. Finally, he held a management position in a forest management consulting firm. In addition, he holds a Law certificate from the Université de Montréal. David joined the Canada Rainforest Alliance team in January 2016 as a Forest Management Associate and completed the FSC lead auditor training. David already took part in more than 25 FM and COC audits.		
Auditor Name	Yves Bouthillier Biol., M.Sc.	Auditor role	In charge of environmental and forestry aspects
Qualifications:	Forest Management Associate for Rainforest Alliance, Yves is a biologist and a FSC Forest Management Senior Lead Auditor and Chain-of-Custody Lead Auditor (ISO 19011). Since January 2014, he has completed more than 30 forest management and chain-of-custody audits. He completed his master in forest ecology at the Research Centre on Water, Earth, and the Environment of the INRS University. Prior to his master, he completed a baccalaureate in biology, with a concentration in conservation and environment at Laval University. He is a member of the Québec's association of biologists. Yves is fluent in English and French.		

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 13 of 55

3.2. Audit schedule

Date	Location /Main sites	Principal Activities
Oct. 23	Remotely	Stakeholder public and private notifications/consultations
Oct. 27	Remotely	Planning call and audit plan
Nov. 7	On-site	Opening meeting, evidence review, field visits, consultation
Nov. 9	On-site	Closing meeting
Feb. 6	Remotely	Audit report finalized

Total number of person days used for the audit:13 = number of days spent in preparation, on site and post site visit follow-up including stakeholder consultation

3.3. Sampling methodology:

Since last audit, changes in Ecotrust Canada's membership occurred: Burns Lake Community Forest of 92,062.50 ha joined the group certificate and 4 FMUs of less than 1,000 ha left the group. Consequently, group certificate is now comprised of one Community Forest and 4 FMUs of less than 1,000 ha, for a total certified area of 93,972.70 ha.

Field visit was done only on Burns Lake Community Forest. Visits focused on operations completed in the past year, areas of special concerns (ex. stream crossing, HCV, stakeholder utilization), on-going operations and upcoming operations. For the SLIMF portion of the group, since changes in membership were members loss, a desk audit was performed per FSC-STD-20-007 requirements.

3.3.1 List of FMUs selected for evaluation

FMU/Group Member Name	Rationale for Selection
Burns Lake Community Forest	New Community Forest in group. Visits focused on operations completed in the past year, areas of special concerns (ex. stream crossing, HCV, stakeholder utilization), on-going operations and upcoming operations.
4 SLIMF members	Desk audit, no changes in SLIMF membership of the group.

3.4. Stakeholder consultation process

A public stakeholder notification was sent to a broad list of relevant stakeholders on October 23, 2017. The audit team also sent a notice to specific and relevant stakeholders by email and stakeholders were also contacted by phone. The stakeholders were chosen according to the need to assess the impacts of the forest on the local community. The audited member's management staff were both interviewed based on their field of responsibility as needed by the auditors to document findings for indicators of the FSC standard.

Stakeholder type	Stakeholders notified	Stakeholders consulted or
	(#)	providing input (#)

(i.e. NGO, government, local inhabitant etc.)		
Government	2	0
Recreation	4	0
Forest Workers	0	2
First Nation	18	1
Environmental	22	1
Unions	4	0
Academic	7	0
Industry	1	0

3.5. Changes to Certification Standards

Forest stewardship standard used in audit:	 FSC Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia – Small Operations Standards (2005) Forest Stewardship Council Regional Certification Standards for British Columbia - Main Standards (2005)
	 Rainforest Alliance Chain-of-Custody Standard for Forest Management Enterprises (FM-35) – August 2013
Revisions to the standard since the last audit:	☐ No changes to standard.☐ Standard was changed (detail changes below)
Changes in standard:	Advice Note 18 was published by FSC International on January 1, 2017. This advice note provided requirements for Intact Forest Landscapes (IFL), based on Motion 65. Per Global Forest Watch.org 2000-2013 data, there is no IFL on the certified area.
Implications for FME:	Conformance to new requirements verified

3.6. Review of FME Documentation and required records

a) All certificate types

Required Records	Reviewed	
Complaints received by FME from stakeholders, actions taken, follow up communication	Y 🛛 N 🗆	
Comments: Ecotrust and the group member audited are proactive in reaching out to stakeholders and strives to maintain a positive relationship with all. Complaint records and follow-up actions have been reviewed.		
Accident records	Y 🛛 N 🗌	
Comments: Accident records were reviewed. No major accident or death due to the FM operations occurred since last audit.		
Training records	Y 🛛 N 🗌	
Comments: Training records were reviewed.		
Operational plan(s) for next twelve months	Y 🛛 N 🗌	
Comments: A sample of operational plans for known operations were reviewed.		
Inventory records	Y 🛛 N 🗌	

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 15 of 55

Comments: Inventory records were reviewed during the interview with the GIS specialist.		
Harvesting records	Y 🛛 N 🗌	
Comments: A sample of completed harvests were reviewed.		

b) Group Certificates

Required Group Records	Reviewed		
Group management system	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: The group management system was reviewed during interviews with the group manage and though the documentation provided (group procedures). The system in place is able to address conformance issues.			
Rate of membership change within the group	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: One Community Forest was added and four members (all SLIMF) were removed from the group certificate during the audit period.			
Formal communication/written documentation sent to members by the group entity during the audit period	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: The formal documentation and communications are frequent and concern audit results and planning.			
Records of monitoring carried out by the group entity	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: The records of the monitoring done by the group manager were reviewed.			
Records of any corrective actions issued by the group entity	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: The records of past CAR were reviewed by the auditor.			
Updated list of group members	Y 🛛 N 🗌		
Comments: The updated list of group members was provided before the audit for the sampling calculations.			

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 16 of 55

APPENDIX I: FSC Annual Audit Reporting Form:

(NOTE: form to be prepared by t	the client pr	ior to audit	t, info	rmat	tion verified	d by audit team)	
Forest management enterp						· ·	
FME legal name:	Ecotrust Canada						
FME Certificate Code:	RA-FM/CoC - 001758						
Reporting period	Previous 12 month period Dates			Dates	November 2016 to November 2017		
1. Scope Of Certificate							
Type of certificate: group					SLIMF	Certificate: not	applicable
New FMUs added since pr	evious ev	aluation		Yes	No		
Group Certificate: Updated	of FMU a	nd group	men	nber	list provid	ded in Appendix	VII-a:
Multi-FMU Certificate: List							
FMU		Area			orest	Loca	tion
Name/Description					Туре	Latitude/L	ongitude ¹
Burns Lake Community Forest		92,302 h	a	Nat		54° 22' 5" N 125°	
		ha	а				
		ha	a				
2. FME Information							
☐ No changes since previous	ous report ((if no chang	es sir	nce p	revious repo	ort leave section blar	nk)
Forest zone			Bor	eal			
Certified Area under Forest	Туре						
 Natural 			94,2	212.2	20 hectares	S	
- Plantation			0 h	ectar	es		
Stream sides and water bod	ies		206	.35 I	_inear Kilor	meters	
3. Forest Area Classification	on						
☐ No changes since previous	ous report ((if no chang	es sir	nce p	revious repo	ort leave section blar	nk)
Total certified area (land bas							94,212.20 ha
Total forest area	,						84,420.04 ha
a. Total production fore	est area					65,450.32 ha	
b. Total non-productive		a (no har	vesti	ina)		18,969.68 ha	
 Protected forest are 					328.72 ha		
 Areas protected from 	•		r		0 ha		
and managed only							
- Remaining non-pro				18,	640.96 ha		
2. Total non-forest area (e.g., water bo	odies, wetla	nds, t	fields.	, rocky outci	rops, etc.)	9,791.96 ha
	<u>.</u>					,	
4. High Conservation Valurespective areas	es identifi	ed via fo	rmal	НС	V assess	ment by the FM	IE and
No changes since previous	ous report	(if no chang	es sir	nce n	revious reno	ort leave section blan	nk)
	V TYPES ²		55 011	.00 р		Description:	Area
					_		, 11 Ou

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 17 of 55

¹ The center point of a contiguous FMU or group of dispersed properties that together comprise a FMU in latitude and

longitude decimal degrees with a maximum of 5 decimals.

² The HCV classification and numbering follows the ProForest HCVF toolkit. The toolkit also provides additional explanation regarding the categories. Toolkit is available at http://hcvnetwork.org/library/global-hcv-toolkits.

HCV1	Forest areas containing globally, regionally or nationally significant concentrations of biodiversity values (e.g. endemism, endangered species, refugia).	16.6 ha
HCV2	Forest areas containing globally, regionally or nationally significant large landscape level forests, contained within, or containing the management unit, where viable populations of most if not all naturally occurring species exist in natural patterns of distribution and abundance.	0 ha
HCV3	Forest areas that are in or contain rare, threatened or endangered ecosystems.	688.20 ha
HCV4	Forest areas that provide basic services of nature in critical situations (e.g. watershed protection, erosion control).	317.50 ha
HCV5	Forest areas fundamental to meeting basic needs of local communities (e.g. subsistence, health).	0 ha
HCV6	Forest areas critical to local communities' traditional cultural identity (areas of cultural, ecological, economic or religious significance identified in cooperation with such local communities).	1,511.22 ha
	Number of sites significant to indigenous people and local communities	

5. Workers					
Number of workers including employees, part-time and seasonal workers:					
Total number of workers	16 workers				
- Of total workers listed above 15 Male 1 Female		1 Female			
Number of serious accidents	0				
Number of fatalities	0				

6. Pesticide Use
FME does not use pesticides. (delete rows below)

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 18 of 55

APPENDIX VI: Rainforest Alliance Database Update Form

<u>Instructions</u>: For each FSC certificate, Rainforest Alliance is required to upload important summary information about each certificate to the FSC database (FSC-Info). During each annual audit RA auditors should work with the certificate holder to verify that the information posted on FSC-Info is up to date as follows:

- 1. Print out current Fact Sheet prior to audit from FSC-Info website or direct link to fact sheets (http://www.fsc-info.org)
- 2. Review information with the FME to verify all fields are accurate.
- 3. If changes are required (corrections, additions or deletions), **note only the changes** to the database information in the section below.
- 4. The changes identified to this form will be used by the RA office to update the FSC database.

Is the FSC database accurate and up-to-date?	YES 🗌	NO 🖂
(if yes, leave section below blank)		

Client Information (contact info for *FSC* website listings)

Organization name	<u> </u>
Primary Contact	Title
Primary Address	Telephone
Address	Fax
Email	Webpage

Forests

Change to Group	⊠ Yes □ No	Change in # of	5 total members
Certificate	M ICS MO	parcels in group	
Total certified area		93,972.70 Hectares	Acres

Species (note if item to be added or deleted)

Scientific name	Common name	Add/Delete

Products

FSC Product categories added to the FM/CoC scope (FSC-STD-40-004a)					
Level 1 Level 2 Species					

FM-06 01Mar17 Page 47 of 55

APPENDIX VII-a: Certified Group Member/FMU List

(Insert additional rows as necessary for groups with more than 15 members).

1. Total # members in the certified pool: 5

2. Total area in Current Pool (ha. or acres): 93,972.70 ha

CERTIFIED FMU TABLE (list all FMU included in certificate scope)

Name of Member/ Contact Details	Assigned Sub Code	Manageme nt Tenure	FMU Location (e.g. town, county)	FMU Latitude/ Longitude⁴	FMU area (ha)	Main Products
Elkington Forest	D		Shawnigan Lake BC, Canada	N 48° 33' 356" W 123° 36' 34 .80"	292.3	Logs, timber,
Marshall Forestry Services (WL0470) - PO Box 2, Midway BC, V0H 1M0	F		Midway BC, Canada	N 49° 02' 42.9966" W 118° 45' 25.6566"	970.4	Logs, timber,
Monticola Forestry Ltd. (WL0408) - PO Box 758, 59 Kootenay Avenue N, Fruitvale, BC,	G		Fruitvale BC, Canada	N 49° 09' 32.24" W 117° 19' 12.74"	583.5	Logs, timber,
Shawnigan Lake School - 1975 Renfrew Road, Shawnigan Lake BC, V0R 2W1	I		Shawnigan Lake BC, Canada	N 48° 39' 16.23" W 123° 38' 48.01"	64	Logs, timber
Burns Lake Community Forest	D		Burns Lake BC, Canada	N 54°13'31.63" W 125°46'0.78".80"	92,062.50	Logs, timber,
Total area in certified pool.					93,972.70 ha	

⁴ The center point of a contiguous FMU or group of dispersed properties that together comprise a FMU in latitude and longitude decimal degrees with a maximum of 5 decimals.